# Pupil Premium Strategy Statement ~ 2022 – 2025

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium (and recovery premium for the 2022 to 2023 academic year) funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

### **School overview**

| Detail  | Data                           |
|---|--------------------------------|
| School name   | Wellgate Primary School        |
| Number of pupils in school399 (Fs2 – Year 6)                            |                                |
| Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils 96 – 25.3%              |                                |
| Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers | 2022 - 2025                    |
| Date this statement was published                                       | 12 <sup>th</sup> December 2022 |
| Date on which it will be reviewed                                       | December 2023                  |
| Statement authorised by     Carol Stringer (COG)                        |                                |
| Pupil premium lead Katie Richardson                                     |                                |
| Governor / Trustee lead   | Carol Stringer                 |

# **Funding overview**

| Detail  | Amount   |
|---|----------|
| Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year   | £139,680 |
| Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year  | £14,500  |
| Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable)  | £0       |
| Total budget for this academic year   | £154,180 |
| If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this academic year |          |

# Part A: Pupil Premium Strategy Plan

## **Statement of intent**

Wellgate Primary School is an inspiring school with children and learning at the heart in all that is offered. We serve the local community and are dedicated to raising the life chances and expectations of all children who attend. Some of our learners come from disadvantaged backgrounds and therefore require additional support. Our **ultimate objective** is that we will use all available resources to support them in reaching their full potential.

We aim to meet the objectives by providing an exciting and nurturing whole school curriculum which shapes the whole child regardless of their background. Ensuring that teaching and learning opportunities meet the needs of all pupils and that the needs of socially disadvantaged pupils are adequately assessed and addressed. We also recognise that not all pupils who are socially disadvantaged are registered or qualify for free school meals and that not all pupils who receive free school meals will be socially disadvantaged.

Our **key principles** are to ensure the disadvantaged children become successful, independent, skilled communicators, confident young people and responsible members of the community. We expect that disadvantaged children make good and better progress from their different starting points and go on to achieve the best outcomes in reading, writing and mathematics. We also expect them to be successful in a much broader range of outcomes in that they are self-disciplined, good attenders, punctual, respectful, healthy, fully aware of the dangers of the 21<sup>st</sup> century and enthusiastic participants in the range of extra-curricular activities that the school offers.

The funding will be used across a range of different initiatives where it supports the learners and families in order to secure the best possible outcome.

# Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

| Challenge number | Detail of challenge  |
|------------------|--|
| 1                | A significant number of children enter EYFS with poor communication and language skills (in school barrier)  |
| 2                | A significant number of children enter EYFS and with poor personal, social and emotional skills (in school barrier). The majority of incoming children (irrespective of year groups) also present with SEMH needs. |
| 3                | Disadvantaged children's attendance rates are traditionally not as high as their non-disadvantaged peers (external barrier)  |
| 4                | Historically, disadvantaged children do not achieve as well as their non-disadvantaged peers (in school barrier)   |

## Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

| Intended outcome   | Success criteria  |  |
|--|---|--|
| All children are in receipt of excellent teaching of Early Reading through<br>Systematic Synthetic Phonics for PP children through the EYFS and KS1.<br>Pupil Premium children in KS2 are closing the gap in reading by the end of | Percentage of disadvantaged children who pass the Phonics Screening<br>Check (Year 1 and Year 2) is in line with non-disadvantaged peers and<br>national. |  |
| KS2.   | Disadvantaged pupils attain in line with national data at EYFS, KS1 and KS2 points of assessment.   |  |
|  | Analysis of interventions will show that these have had a positive impact<br>on the learning for disadvantaged children.                                  |  |
| Pupils' Social, Emotional and Mental Health needs are assessed and met   | Disadvantaged children are ready to learn on a daily basis and able to access their learning due to SEMH needs being met.                                 |  |
|  | Records of CPOMS, learning walks and behaviour analysis identifies that children are settled and ready to learn.  |  |
|  | Disadvantaged pupils attain in line with national data at EYFS, KS1 and KS2 points of assessment.   |  |
|  | SENCO leads and supports staff to identify and ensure the correct provision is in place for those children with SEMH needs.                               |  |
| Increase the attendance of disadvantaged pupils, working with families and supporting the attendance of those pupils who have higher rates of  | All disadvantaged children meet the school attendance target of 97%<br>Disadvantaged pupils' academic year 2021 - 2022 – 89.4%                            |  |
| absence.   | Other pupils' academic year $2021 - 2022 - 94.7\%$  |  |
|  | Monitoring of attendance by Headteacher, Attendance Officer and<br>Safeguarding Team supports families in improving attendance for those<br>children.     |  |
| Increase the percentage of higher attaining PP pupils achieving the higher/greater depth standard.   | Disadvantaged pupils attain in line with national data at EYFS, KS1 and KS2 points of assessment.   |  |

## Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) **this academic year** to address the challenges listed above.

### Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)

Budgeted cost: £30,000

| Activity  | Evidence that supports this approach   | Challenge<br>number(s)<br>addressed |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| On-going interventions across school<br>to support the development of Oracy<br>through EYFS and KS1<br>Oct 23   | Research identifies that positive outcomes for pupils through targeted intervention from EYFS.<br>Approaches that focus on speaking, listening and a combination of the two all show positive impacts<br>on attainment.<br>Oral language interventions   EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)   | 1                                   |
| Focused CPD for consistent high-<br>quality phonics teaching across EYFS<br>and KS1. Identification of strategies<br>and interventions to close gaps in<br>phonics from end of Y1, Y2 and into Y3<br>to ensure children continue to make<br>good progress towards reading and<br>reading comprehension. | The EEF guide to The Pupil Premium states that good teaching is the most important lever schools have to improve outcomes for Disadvantaged pupils. Evidence consistently shows the positive impact that targeted academic support can have, including on those who are not making good progress across the spectrum of achievement. <a href="https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/public/files/Publications/Pupil_Premium_Guidance.pdf">https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/public/files/Publications/Pupil_Premium_Guidance.pdf</a> | 1                                   |
| Support from Trust to monitor the<br>successful and consistent<br>implementation of the programme.<br>CPD and individual coaching provided<br>to staff delivering the programme<br>(Teachers and TAs)   |  |                                     |

| CPD for new staff (teaching and<br>Teaching Assistants) to ensure their<br>delivery is ensuring children make<br>progress and gaps are closing.                                | The EEF guide to The Pupil Premium states that good teaching is the most important lever schools<br>have to improve outcomes for Disadvantaged pupils. Evidence consistently shows the positive<br>impact that targeted academic support can have, including on those who are not making good<br>progress across the spectrum of achievement.<br><u>Using pupil premium   EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)</u>                                  | 1 |
|--|--|---|
| To continue to embed a reading<br>culture in school which offers a<br>range of text styles and authors for<br>all pupils to widen their knowledge<br>and support with writing. | Purposeful activities including reading aloud, discussing content, identifying sentence structure and widening vocabulary mean pupils can apply this to their own writing.<br>EEF Improving Literacy in Key Stage 2: Progress in Literacy requires motivation and engagement which help pupils develop persistence and enjoyment in reading. Pupils need a wider language experience to develop their understanding of written texts in all their forms. | 4 |
| Provide specific feedback, including<br>verbal and written, for all pupils. CPD<br>opportunities to ensure targeted<br>intervention.   | EEF research identifies pupils benefit from good quality feedback.<br>https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit   | 4 |
| Purchase of standardised assessments.  | Standardised tests can provide reliable insights into the specific strengths and areas for improvement of each pupil and help ensure they receive the correct additional support through interventions or teacher instruction.   | 4 |

## Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions)

Budgeted cost: £55,000

| Activity   | Evidence that supports this approach  | Challenge<br>number(s)<br>addressed |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| Trained Teaching Assistants deliver<br>interventions to disadvantaged<br>children in EYFS and KS1 to deliver | Research identifies that positive outcomes for pupils through targeted intervention from TA support has most impact when time and resources are spent on quality CPD to improve support staff practice. | 1 and 4                             |
| interventions specific to Language and communication (WELLCOMM,  | Oral language interventions   EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)   |                                     |

| Music Interaction, RWInc 1:1 tutoring etc)  |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| Additional TA support in Year 1 and 2<br>to improve outcomes in reading and<br>writing through modelled and | Research identifies that positive outcomes for pupils through targeted intervention from TA support has most impact when time and resources are spent on quality CPD to improve support staff practice. | 1 |
| supportive practice.  | Oral language interventions   EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)   |   |

| School tutoring/Booster weekly<br>sessions (Spring Term) for specific<br>disadvantaged children in R, W, M in<br>line with curriculum being taught.                                  | EEF research suggests tuition should be additional to, but explicitly linked with, normal teaching, and<br>that teachers should monitor progress to ensure the tutoring is beneficial. Also, that the quality of<br>feedback (both within lesson and through written) can have a positive impact on pupil outcomes by<br>an average.               | 4       |
|--|--|---------|
|  | https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/one-to-<br>one-tuition  |         |
| Additional time for the Early<br>Reading Leader (Phonics) to<br>monitor the quality of RWInc across<br>school. To develop staff with 1:1 CPD<br>sessions to ensure maximum impact    | The EEF guide to The Pupil Premium states that good teaching is the most important lever schools have<br>to improve outcomes for Disadvantaged pupils. Evidence consistently shows the positive impact that<br>targeted academic support can have, including on those who are not making good progress across the<br>spectrum of achievement.      | 1       |
| on outcomes for the children   | https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/public/files/Publications/Pupil_Premium_Guidance.pd<br>f   |         |
| Additional phonics sessions<br>targeted at disadvantaged pupils<br>who require further phonics<br>support. This will be delivered in<br>collaboration with our local English<br>Hub. | Phonics approaches have a strong evidence base indicating a positive impact on pupils, particularly from disadvantaged backgrounds. Targeted phonics interventions have been shown to be more effective when delivered as regular sessions over a period up to 12 weeks:<br><u>Phonics   Toolkit Strand   Education Endowment Foundation   EEF</u> | 1 and 4 |
| To increase the percentage of<br>disadvantaged pupils passing the<br>Times Table Check in Y4 to be<br>broadly in line with non-<br>disadvantaged.                                    | Tuition targeted at specific needs and knowledge gaps can be an effective method to support low attaining pupils or those falling behind, both one-to-one:         One to one tuition   EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)         And in small groups:         Small group tuition   Toolkit Strand   Education Endowment Foundation   EEF | 4       |

## Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

#### Budgeted cost: £75,000

| Activity   | Evidence that supports this approach  | Challenge<br>number(s)<br>addressed |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| Attendance and punctuality have a significant impact on outcomes for all pupils.   | There is a clear link between poor attendance and lower academic achievement.<br>Attendance-REA-protocol-21092021.pdf (d2tic4wv01iusb.cloudfront.net) | 3                                   |
| Personalised tracking of<br>disadvantaged pupils' attendance<br>and punctuality, alongside EWO<br>support aims to improve<br>attendance, and therefore<br>outcomes, for disadvantaged<br>pupils. |   |                                     |
| Attendance Officers to ensure the attendance and punctuality of Disadvantaged children is high.  |   |                                     |
| Rewards scheme for all pupils for<br>good attendance (above 97%) and<br>monitoring system for Persistent<br>Absence.   |   |                                     |
| Support work with vulnerable families to support positive attendance.  |   |                                     |
| Monthly meetings (ISAP) to be held<br>to monitor attendance and decide on<br>actions to reduce persistent absence.   |   |                                     |

| Home visits to take place as required from fortnightly meeting with EWO  |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| Targeted support from EWO for<br>families struggling with attendance   |  |   |
| PSA to support and identify EHA for<br>families<br>PSA to support the SEMH for<br>disadvantaged children and support<br>using 'The Incredible Me'  | Research suggests that the impact of raising parents' aspirations are important for supporting disadvantaged pupils. +3 months. Parental engagement   EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)  | 2 |
| programme<br>PSA to deliver Parenting Support<br>and target disadvantaged families<br>to support parenting at home   |  |   |
| Learning mentor to provide Nurture<br>provision for disadvantaged pupils,<br>both 1:1 and as a group with<br>significant communications needs,<br>enables them to develop their<br>Social, Emotional and Mental Health<br>needs. | Research shows that Social and emotional learning (SEL) interventions seek to improve pupils' decision-<br>making skills, interaction with others and their self-management of emotions, rather than focusing directly<br>on the academic or cognitive elements of learning.<br>Social and emotional learning   EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)  | 2 |
| Incredible Me programme delivered<br>to support SEMH needs in individual<br>level in order to access learning as<br>needs are being met  |  |   |
| Disadvantaged pupils are provided<br>with a subsidy towards Robinwood<br>and Kingswood and other trips are<br>subsided to ensure they are all able<br>to participate.  | Outdoor Adventure Learning might provide opportunities for disadvantaged pupils to participate in activities that they otherwise might not be able to access. Through participation in these challenging physical and emotional activities, outdoor adventure learning interventions can support pupils to develop non-cognitive skills such as resilience, self-confidence and motivation.<br><u>Outdoor adventure learning   EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)</u> | 2 |
|  | Social and emotional learning   EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)  |   |

## Total budgeted cost: £160,000

## Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

## Pupil premium strategy outcomes

#### **Intended Outcomes**

All children are in receipt of excellent teaching of Early Reading through Systematic Synthetic Phonics for PP children through the EYFS and KS1. Pupil Premium children in KS2 are closing the gap in reading by the end of KS2.

#### EYFS

#### EYFSP

| CONTEXT         | •     | Wellgate Primary School Local Authority - Bai<br>(2142) |       | ority - Barnsley |
|-----------------|-------|---|-------|------------------|
| Item            | Value | Cov.  | Value | Gap Cov.         |
| Cohort          | 56    | -   | 2,729 | n/a -            |
| Gender (Male)   | 44.6% | 100.0%  | 51.6% | -7.0% 100.0%     |
| SEN Support     | 10.7% | 100.0%  | 8.6%  | +2.1% 98.8%      |
| EHCP/Statement  | 7.1%  | 100.0%  | 2.0%  | +5.1% 98.8%      |
| Ethnicity (BME) | 5.4%  | 100.0%  | 4.8%  | +0.6% 98.8%      |
| Language (EAL)  | 7.1%  | 100.0%  | 8.0%  | -0.9% 100.0%     |
| Disadvantaged   | 17.9% | 100.0%  | 22.8% | -4.9% 100.0%     |

| ASSESSMENTS                  |                                   |                            |        |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|--------|
|                              | Wellgate Primary School<br>(2142) | Local Authority - Barnsley |        |
| ltem                         | Value                             | Value                      | Gap    |
| Good Level of Development ●  | 60.7%                             | 68.7%                      | -8.0%  |
| Average no. ELGs at expected | 13.9                              | 13.9                       | 0.0    |
| All Goals, Exp+              | 55.4%                             | 65.9%                      | -10.5% |
| Prime Goals, Exp+            | 71.4%                             | 74.6%                      | -3.2%  |
| Com. & Lang. Goals, Exp+ 🌒   | 73.2%                             | 78.5%                      | -5.3%  |
| PSE Goals, Exp+ ●            | 82.1%                             | 81.2%                      | +0.9%  |
| Phys. Dev. Goals, Exp+ ●     | 91.1%                             | 83.8%                      | +7.3%  |
| Specific Goals, Exp+         | 58.9%                             | 66.6%                      | -7.7%  |
| Literacy Goals, Exp+ ●       | 66.1%                             | 70.2%                      | -4.1%  |
| Maths Goals, Exp+ ●          | 76.8%                             | 76.4%                      | +0.4%  |
| Und. The World Goals, Exp+   | 76.8%                             | 77.8%                      | -1.0%  |
| Exp. Arts & Des. Goals, Exp+ | 80.4%                             | 80.9%                      | -0.5%  |

Good level of development = at least expected in all prime. literacy and mathematics goals.

- = value suppressed, negligible or unavailable

#### Wellgate Primary School (2142)

|  | Disadvantaged Pupils: Disadvantaged |        | All I | upils        |        |       |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------|-------|--------------|--------|-------|
|  | School                              | LA     |       | School       | LA     |       |
| Eligible Cohort <sup>1</sup>             | 10                                  | 622    |       | 56           | 2,729  |       |
| Indicator                                | Value                               | Gap    | Value | Gap Valu     | Gap    | Value |
| Good level of development <sup>2</sup> ● | 50.0%                               | -5.8%  | 55.8% | -10.7% 60.7% | -18.7% | 68.7% |
| Average no. ELGs at expected level       | 13.7                                | +1.4   | 12.3  | -0.2 13.9    | -0.2   | 13.9  |
| All: At least expected                   | 40.0%                               | -12.6% | 52.6% | -15.4% 55.4% | -25.9% | 65.9% |
| Prime: At least expected                 | 80.0%                               | +16.2% | 63.8% | +8.6% 71.4%  | +5.4%  | 74.6% |
| COM: At least expected ●                 | 80.0%                               | +10.7% | 69.3% | +6.8% 73.2%  | +1.5%  | 78.5% |
| PSE: At least expected •                 | 90.0%                               | +19.1% | 70.9% | +7.9% 82.1%  | +8.8%  | 81.2% |
| PHY: At least expected ●                 | 90.0%                               | +14.8% | 75.2% | -1.1% 91.1%  | +6.2%  | 83.8% |
| Specific: At least expected              | 40.0%                               | -13.5% | 53.5% | -18.9% 58.9% | -26.6% | 66.6% |
| LIT: At least expected ●                 | 50.0%                               | -8.0%  | 58.0% | -16.1% 66.1% | -20.2% | 70.2% |
| MAT: At least expected ●                 | 80.0%                               | +16.5% | 63.5% | +3.2% 76.8%  | +3.6%  | 76.4% |
| UTW: At least expected                   | 70.0%                               | +1.7%  | 68.3% | -6.8% 76.8%  | -7.8%  | 77.8% |
| EXP: At least expected                   | 80.0%                               | +7.5%  | 72.5% | -0.4% 80.4%  | -0.9%  | 80.9% |

• The number of disadvantaged pupils in the EYFS is below the Local Authority at 17.9%.

- The communication and language goal for Wellgate is -5.3% below Local Authority Average.
- All outcomes are below the Local Authority for all pupils.
- 50% of Disadvantaged pupils achieved their GLD
- 80% of Disadvantaged pupils achieved their communication and language goal

#### Phonics

- 33% of Disadvantaged pupils passed the phonic screening check in Y1
- 85% of Disadvantaged pupils passed the phonic screening check by the end of Year 2

### KS2 Key Stage 2

| CONTEXT         | Wellgate Prin<br>(214 | -      | Local Auth | ority - Barnsley |
|-----------------|-----------------------|--------|------------|------------------|
| Item            | Value                 | Cov.   | Value      | Gap Cov.         |
| Cohort          | 56                    | -      | 3,013      | n/a -            |
| Gender (Male)   | 50.0%                 | 100.0% | 49.2%      | +0.8% 100.0%     |
| SEN Support     | 12.5%                 | 100.0% | 14.0%      | -1.5% 100.0%     |
| EHCP/Statement  | 7.1%                  | 100.0% | 4.9%       | +2.2% 100.0%     |
| Ethnicity (BME) | 0.0%                  | 100.0% | 5.0%       | -5.0% 100.0%     |
| Language (EAL)  | 1.8%                  | 100.0% | 6.8%       | -5.0% 100.0%     |
| Disadvantaged   | 37.5%                 | 100.0% | 36.3%      | +1.2% 100.0%     |
|                 |                       |        |            |                  |

| ATTAINMENT & ASSESSMEN       | TS             |                                   |                 |            |
|------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|------------|
|                              |                | Wellgate Primary School<br>(2142) | Local Authority | - Barnsley |
| Subject                      | Level          | Value                             | Value           | Gap        |
| Reading (test), Writing (TA) | ≥EXS/Exp.Std.  | 62.5%                             | 61.0%           | +1.5%      |
| & Maths (test)               | GDS/High Score | 7.1%                              | 6.9%            | +0.2%      |
| Reading                      | ≥Exp.Std.      | 78.6%                             | 72.3%           | +6.3%      |
|                              | High Score     | 19.6%                             | 25.5%           | -5.9%      |
| Writing (TA)                 | ≥EXS           | 69.6%                             | 72.0%           | -2.4%      |
|                              | GDS            | 8.9%                              | 11.4%           | -2.5%      |
| Maths (test)                 | ≥Exp.Std.      | 76.8%                             | 74.7%           | +2.1%      |
|                              | High Score     | 30.4%                             | 22.5%           | +7.9%      |

#### PROGRESS

|         |                  | Wellgate Primary School<br>(2142) | Local Authority - Ba | irnsley |
|---------|------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Subject | Level            | Value                             | Value                | Gap     |
| Reading | Avg. Prog. Score | 0.01                              | -0.12                | +0.13   |
|         | Conf. Int.       | ±1.68 -1.67 to +1.69              | ±0.23 -0.35 to +0.11 | n/a     |
| Writing | Avg. Prog. Score | -0.27                             | -0.02                | -0.25   |
|         | Conf. Int.       | ±1.61 -1.88 to +1.34              | ±0.22 -0.24 to +0.20 | n/a     |
| Maths   | Avg. Prog. Score | 1.96                              | 0.53                 | +1.43   |
|         | Conf. Int.       | ±1.58 +0.38 to +3.54              | ±0.21 +0.32 to +0.74 | n/a     |

| Wellgate Primary School (2142) |                 |                       |       |                 |       | *C                    | ohort Size |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|------------|
|                                | Disadvantage    | ed Pupils: Disadvan   | taged |                 | All P | upils                 |            |
| Indicator                      | School<br>(20)* | <b>LA</b><br>(1,090)* |       | School<br>(60)* |       | <b>LA</b><br>(3,010)* |            |
|                                |                 | Gap                   | Value | Gap             | Value | Gap                   | Value      |
| Reading Exp+                   | 57.1%           | • 4.2pp               | 61.3% | • 21.5pp        | 78.6% | • 15.2pp              | 72.3%      |
| Maths Exp+                     | 57.1%           | ● 4.8pp               | 61.9% | ● 19.7pp        | 76.8% | ● 17.6pp              | 74.7%      |
| GPS Exp+                       | 61.9%           | • 2.2pp               | 59.7% | ● 14.9pp        | 76.8% | ● 10.5pp              | 72.4%      |
| Writing TA EXS+                | 52.4%           | ● 7.1pp               | 59.5% | ● 17.2pp        | 69.6% | ● 19.6pp              | 72.0%      |
| Reading High SS                | 19.0%           | <b>o</b> 2.4pp        | 16.6% | ⊜ 0.6рр         | 19.6% | ● 6.5pp               | 25.5%      |
| Maths High SS                  | 19.0%           | <b>6</b> .4pp         | 12.6% | ● 11.4pp        | 30.4% | ● 3.5pp               | 22.5%      |
| GPS High SS                    | 28.6%           | • 10.5pp              | 18.1% | ● 7.1pp         | 35.7% | <b>©</b> 0.3pp        | 28.3%      |
| Writing TA GDS                 | 4.8%            | <b>e</b> 0.8pp        | 5.6%  | • 4.1pp         | 8.9%  | ● б.брр               | 11.4%      |

• 57.1% of disadvantaged pupils achieved expected in reading this is below the Local Authority and non-disadvantaged pupils at Wellgate.

• 19% of disadvantaged pupils achieved Greater Depth in reading this is above the Local Authority but below non-disadvantaged pupils at Wellgate

#### Pupils' Social, Emotional and Mental Health needs are assessed and met

See data above for PSE Goals.

The outcomes for this area were above the Local Authority Average, this was due to the implementation of structured social and emotional support and targeted individual support for disadvantaged pupils who needed this the most.

Nurture and the Incredible Me programme have had a positive impact on pupils SEMH needs. The PSA and SENDCo supported individual pupils through robust procedures and practises.

Increase the attendance of disadvantaged pupils, working with families and supporting the attendance of those pupils who have higher rates of absence.

Attendance for 2022 – 2023

| Total<br>Attendance  | Whole School            | Other Pupils            | Disadvantaged           | SEND Support            | ЕНСР                    |
|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 2022 - 2023          | average<br>% attendance |
| Including<br>Nursery | 93.9%                   | 94.9%                   | 91.7%                   | 92.3%                   | 92.8%                   |
| Excluding U5s        | 94.1%                   | 95.2%                   | 91.8%                   | 92.3%                   | 92.7%                   |

There continued to be a turbulent time in the monitoring of attendance due to the nominated Attendance Officer leaving post and acting Attendance Officer being seconded to support another school.

The National attendance figure was 94%

Specific interventions took place with disadvantaged families regarding attendance and support put into place which had a positive impact on their attendance and punctuality. Even though there were individual successes the overall gap between disadvantage and other across the school did not close.

Increase the percentage of higher attaining PP pupils achieving the higher/greater depth standard.

The percentage of Pupil Premium pupils achieving the higher/greater depth standard still has room for improvement. However, they achieved better in maths and reading than similar pupils within the Local Authority.

Pupils will have the opportunity to participate in life experiences that they would not normally have the resources or opportunity to access.

Pupil Premium funding has provided disadvantaged pupils with a subsidy towards Robinwood and other trips are subsided to ensure they are all able to participate.

## Service pupil premium funding (optional)

For schools that receive this funding, you may wish to provide the following information:

| Measure  | Details  |
|--|--|
| How did you spend your service pupil premium allocation last academic year?    | We did not receive allocation as no Service Pupil Premium children |
|  |  |
| What was the impact of that spending on service pupil premium eligible pupils? | N/A  |

## **Externally provided programmes**

Please include the names of any non-DfE programmes that you used your pupil premium (or recovery premium) to fund in the previous academic year.

| Programme                       | Provider    |
|---------------------------------|-------------|
| RWInc (validated SSP Programme) | Ruth Miskin |